Filed 09/17/24 Filed 09/16/24

Page 1 of 2 Page 1 of 2

MURIEL GOODE-TRUFANT Acting Corporation Counsel



**GREGORY ACCARINO** 

Senior Counsel phone: (212) 356-1945 gaccari@law.nyc.gov

## THE CITY OF NEW YORK LAW DEPARTMENT

100 CHURCH STREET NEW YORK, NY 10007

September 16, 2024

BY ECF

Honorable John G. Koeltl United States District Judge United States District Court Southern District of New York

**APPLICATION GRANTED** SO ORDERED

Re:

Ryan Paige v. City of New York, et al.

21 Civ. 11104 (JGK)

Your Honor:

I am a Senior Counsel in the office of Muriel Goode-Trufant, Acting Corporation Counsel of the City of New York, and the attorney assigned to represent defendants City of New York, Kevin Major, Gregg Albaum, Jonathan Santos, and Edward Reyes ("City defendants") in the above-referenced matter. The City defendants write to respectfully request a three (3) week extension of the briefing schedule for the City defendants to submit their motion for summary judgment, plaintiff to submit his opposition, and for the City defendants to submit their reply. Plaintiff does not object to this request. This is the City defendants' first such request and does not affect any other Court deadlines.

The City defendants respectfully propose the following new briefing schedule for the City defendants' motion for summary judgment:

Deadline:	Original Deadline:	Newly Proposed Deadline:
Deadline for City Defendants' Motion	September 27, 2024	October 18, 2024
for Summary Judgment		
Deadline for Plaintiff's Opposition	October 25, 2024	November 15, 2024
Deadline for City Defendants' Reply	November 8, 2024	December 2, 2024

By way of background, on September 5, 2024, this Court set a briefing schedule for the City defendants' motion for summary judgment. See Dkt. No. 75, Order, September 5, 2024. As discussed in the City defendants' pre-motion conference letter, at his deposition, plaintiff admitted to committing the crimes for which he alleges he was falsely arrested. See Dkt. No. 74, Letter Motion, September 4, 2024. As a result, the parties have conferred and, based on this development, defendants respectfully request a three (3) week extension to the summary judgment briefing schedule to afford the parties sufficient time to discuss whether some, if not all, claims can be voluntarily withdrawn prior to summary judgment motion practice in the interest of avoiding the submission of unnecessary briefing to the Court. To that end, plaintiff's counsel has already indicated recognition that at least some claims should be withdrawn.

For the foregoing reasons, the City defendants respectfully request a three (3) week extension of the briefing schedule for the City defendants to submit their motion for summary judgment, plaintiff to submit his opposition, and for the City defendants to submit their reply.

The City defendants thank the Court for its consideration herein.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Gregory J.O. Accarino
Gregory J.O. Accarino
Senior Counsel
Special Federal Litigation Division

ce: By ECF
Sameer Nath
Attorney for Plaintiff